

**REMARKS**

The Examiner required restriction to one of the following:

Claims 1 – 39 are presented for examination.

**Election/Restriction**


- I. **Claims 1 – 17**, are drawn to a synchronization of policies on different nodes in a network classified in class 707, subclass 201.
- II. **Claims 18 – 39**, are drawn to migration of policies between network nodes classified in class 707, subclass 203.

Applicant provisionally elects **Group I** with traverse. If the Examiner determines that Applicant has presumed incorrectly as to which claims the Examiner intended to include in **Group I**, clarification is respectfully requested.

Applicant traverses the propriety of the restriction requirement by this election, as all of the claims pertain to the same species of the claimed invention.

If the Examiner believes that a conference would be of value in expediting the prosecution of this application, he is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned counsel at (650) 838-4383 to arrange for such a conference. No fees are believed to be due, however, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment in fees to Deposit Account No. 50-2207.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Shaalu Mehra  
Reg. No. 44,934

Date: July 25, 2007

**Correspondence Address:**

Customer No. 22918  
Perkins Coie LLP  
P.O. Box 2168  
Menlo Park, CA 94026-2168  
(650) 838-4300